

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/737,209 | 12/17/2003 | Jun Sun | 02-52606 | 7681 |
| ⁷⁹³²⁶ Fujitsu Patent (| 7590 10/08/200 Center | 9 | EXAMINER | |
| C/O CPA Global | | | PERUNGAVOOR, SATHYANARAYA V | |
| P.O. Box 52050 Minneapolis, MN 55402 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2624 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/08/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/737,209 | SUN ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | SATH V. PERUNGAVOOR | 2624 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ju | ıly 2009. | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 2-15,17-30 and 32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-15,23-30 and 32 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 2-7 and 17-22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2,5,17 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) ☐ Notice of Informal F 6) ☐ Other: | ate | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/737,209 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

[1] A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Applicant's submission filed on July 31, 2009 has been entered.

Response to Arguments/Amendments

[2] Presented arguments have been fully considered, but are rendered moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

[3] Claims 2 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 5

Application/Control Number: 10/737,209 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

and 20 disclose removing similar frames. However, the dependent claims 2 and 17 recite a redundant step of removing similar frames. The application as filed does not disclose the redundant step of removing similar frames. Suggestion: Amend claims 2, 5, 17 and 20 in similar format to claim 3.

[4] Claims 2, 5, 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention. Presence of dependent claims with a broader recitation of the independent claim's limitation casts doubt as to the scope of the independent claim. Here the presence of dependent claims 2 and 17, which recite in broad terms removing similar frames which is also recited in narrow terms in independent claims 5 and 20, cast doubt on the scope of the independent claims. <u>Suggestion</u>: Amend claims 2, 5, 17 and 20 in similar format to claim 3.

Election/Restrictions

[5] If the above matters are resolved, this application would be in condition for allowance except for the presence of claims 8-15, 23-30 and 32 directed to a non-elected invention.

Accordingly, claims 8-15, 23-30 and 32 should be cancelled.

Allowable Subject Matter

[6] Claims 2-7 and 17-22 would be allowable, if the above matters are resolved.

Application/Control Number: 10/737,209 Page 4

Art Unit: 2624

Contact Information

[7] Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Mr., Sath V. Perungavoor whose telephone number is (571) 272-7455. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Mr. Bhavesh M. Mehta whose telephone number is (571) 272-7453, can be reached on Monday to

Friday from 9:00am to 5:00pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application

or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dated: October 8, 2009

/Sath V. Perungavoor/

Sath V. Perungavoor Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624

Telephone: (571) 272-7455